

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,067	LEONARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC C. WAI	2195	

All Participants:

(1) ERIC C. WAI.

(2) Robert P. Lord (Reg No. 46,479).

Date of Interview: 16 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd for claims 1,13, and 25

Claims discussed:

1,13,25

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner advised Applicant to amend the independent claims by clearly indicating that "a first file system partition" associated with the first VOSE is part of the global file system. Examiner also advised Applicant to amend the claims to clarify that it is the processor performing the step of determining in response to the ending of the execution of a first process. Applicant authorized all changes to be filed via an Examiner's Amendment.